



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/978,056	10/17/2001	Bernd Haberland	Q65832	3203	
7590 01/27/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			VU, VIET DUY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2005. 5215		2154		
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2009	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,056	HABERLAND, BERND
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Viet Vu	2154
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a seply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC oute, cause the application to become A	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	September 2004.	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a little in the control in the	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/978,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

1. The current title does not accurately describe the claimed invention. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Non-Art Rejections:

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following language lacks proper antecedent basis:

In claim 5, line 4, "the required number of nodes B", it is not clear as to which nodes being referred.

The same lacking of antecedent basis can also be found in claim 6.

Art Rejections:

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/978,056

Art Unit: 2154

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Bicknell</u> et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,011,803, in view of Aho et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,198,941.

Per claims 1, 7 and 9, <u>Bricknell</u> discloses a distributed multiprocessors protocol stack comprising:

- a) a plurality of client processors (103, 104, fig. 1) assigned to a plurality of different communication interfaces for processing signals at higher layers of the protocol stacks, i.e. layer 3 and above, (see col 7, lines 15-38),
- b) one or more processor modules (101, fig. 1) allocated to processing signals at lower layer of the protocol stacks, i.e., layers 1 & 2, (see col 6, lines 10-35 and col 7, lines 39-61).

Bricknell does not explicitly teach processing packets in a radio network. The use of multi-protocol stacks for processing radio packets is well known in the art as disclosed in Aho (see Aho's col 4, lines 45-56 and fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Bricknell's

Application/Control Number: 09/978,056

Art Unit: 2154

distributed protocol stacks in such radio networks because it would have enabled processing multi-protocol packets more efficiently (see Bricknell's col 2, lines 8-19).

Per claim 2-6, it is noted that the transport layers convert signals received from a terminal, the base station, other processor and other routers while the lower layers process signals according to required channel capacity of the communication medium (see Aho's fig. 1 and col 7, lines 34-64).

Per claim 8, <u>Bricknell</u> teaches implementing the processing clients with loadable software modules (<u>see Bricknell's col 7,</u> lines 62-67).

Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on 571-272-3964.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2154

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 1/18/05